

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

CONTENTS

Masthead	iii
About the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW	iv

Antitrust

<i>The Intra-Enterprise Conspiracy Doctrine in American Needle Inc. v. National Football League: Antitrust Law Continues Its Path Toward Rationality</i>	John O. Gunderson	1
--	-------------------	---

Appellate Procedure

<i>Just a Bunch of Fusspots and Nitpickers? That Pretty Much Sums It Up</i>	Patrick D. Austermuehle	34
---	----------------------------	----

Bankruptcy

<i>Let's Get It Straight: The Effect of Fehribach, The HA2003 Liquidating Trust, and Joyce on a Debtor's Pre-Bankruptcy Professionals and Where to Go From Here</i>	Jamie L. Johnson	59
---	------------------	----

Civil Rights

<i>Analyzing a Pretrial Detainee's § 1983 Claims Under the Deliberate Indifference Standard Amounts to Punishment of the Detainee</i>	Leslie B. Elkins	91
---	------------------	----

Welcome to the Family: A New Class of Cognizable Claims Under the Pregnancy Discrimination Act Teresa A. Minnich 117

License to Kill (the Dream of Fair Housing): How the Seventh Circuit in Craigslist Gave Websites a Free Pass to Publish Discriminatory Housing Advertisements Joseph J. Opron III 152

Criminal Law

Policing Thought: United States v. Khattab and the Mens Rea Requirement of 21 U.S.C. § 841 Anne M. Walker 193

First Amendment

Unraveling Tinker: The Seventh Circuit Leaves Student Speech Hanging by a Thread Marcia E. Powers 215

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 4, Issue 1

Fall 2008

Chicago-Kent College of Law
Illinois Institute of Technology
565 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661

Hal R. Morris
Professor

Sandra R. Stipp
Executive Editor – Teaching Assistant

Editorial Staff

Patrick D. Austermuehle
Leslie B. Elkins
John O. Gunderson
Jamie L. Johnson

Teresa A. Minnich
Joseph J. Opron III
Marcia E. Powers
Anne M. Walker

© 2008 Chicago-Kent College of Law, Illinois Institute of Technology.

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

ABOUT THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

Purpose

The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW is a semiannual, online journal dedicated to the analysis of recent opinions published by the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW seeks to keep the legal community abreast of developments and trends within the Seventh Circuit and their impact on contemporary jurisprudence. The articles appearing within the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW are written and edited by Chicago-Kent College of Law students enrolled in the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW Honors Seminar.

The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW Honors Seminar

In this seminar, students author, edit, and publish the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW. The REVIEW is entirely student written and edited. During each semester, students identify cases recently decided by the Seventh Circuit to be included in the REVIEW, prepare initial drafts of case comments or case notes based on in-depth analysis of the identified cases and background research, edit these drafts, prepare final, publishable articles, integrate the individual articles into the online journal, and "defend" their case analysis at a semester-end roundtable. Each seminar student is an editor of the REVIEW and responsible for extensive editing of other articles. Substantial assistance is provided by the seminar teaching assistant, who acts as the executive editor.

The areas of case law that will be covered in each journal issue will vary, depending on those areas of law represented in the court's recently published opinions, and may include:

- Americans with Disabilities Act
- antitrust
- bankruptcy
- civil procedure
- civil rights
- constitutional law
- copyright
- corporations
- criminal law and procedure
- environmental
- ERISA
- employment law
- evidence
- immigration
- insurance
- products liability
- public welfare
- securities

This is an honors seminar. To enroll, students must meet *one* of the following criteria: (1) cumulative GPA in previous legal writing courses of 3.5 and class rank at the time of registration within top 50% of class, (2) recommendation of Legal Writing 1 and 2 professor and/or Legal Writing 4 professor, (3) Law Review membership, (4) Moot Court Honor Society membership, or (5) approval of the course instructor.